UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,119	05/30/2006	Johan Cornelis Talstra	NL 031439	5196
	7590 06/23/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		CHU, KIM KWOK		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,119	TALSTRA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	KIM-KWOK CHU	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	thin the time period set forth in 37 (	CFR 41.37(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	sideration and/or search (see NOT	<del></del>	cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/HOA T NGUYEN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627			
•			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding to independent Claims 1 and 9-12, Applicant does not agree that the prior art (U.S. Patent 6,633,534) of Tosaki's protection information is stored in a side channel in a pregroove in the data zone (page 13 of the Remarks, lines 5-8). Accordingly, the prior art of Tosaki teaches a copy management key information which is stored in a prepits/pregroove section as a side channel information (column 2, lines 48-52).

Furthermore, Applicant states that the prior art of Tosaki's prepit/pregroove belongs to a lead-in area and therefore it is not in the data zone. Accordingly, Applicant does not define what is a data zone (such as not a lead-in area) but claims that the data zone includes a pregroove. In this case, the Tosaki's prepit/pregroove is therefore considered as a recording area belonged to the data zone.

In other words, a lead-in area represented by a prepit/pregroove such as Tosaki's can be considered as a data zone as required in Applicant Claims 1 and 9-12.

Examiner: /Kim-Kwok CHU/ (571) 272-7585